Course evaluation (replies in English and Swedish are both accepted). Please evaluate the course by giving grades 1 to 5 (5 = very good, 1 = very bad), or in otherwise indicated way. Use a separate piece of paper if you need more space. This course is quite non-standard in its structure and your feedback is very valuable!

Course as a whole: Well planned and structured (1-5):
Interesting (1-5):
Difficulty (Too difficult/Good/Too easy):
Amount (Too much/Good/Too little):

Teacher Knowledge (1-5):
Pedagogical skill (1-5):

Assistant Knowledge (1-5):
Pedagogical skill (1-5):

Lectures Useful for the understanding of the course(1-5):
Number of lectures (Too many/Good/Too few):
Level of difficulty (Too difficult/Good/Too easy):
Other comments on the lectures:

Exercise sessions Useful for the understanding of the course(1-5):
Number of sessions (Too many/Good/Too few):
Level of difficulty (Too difficult/Good/Too easy):
Other comments on the exercise sessions:

Seminars Useful for the understanding of the subject(1-5):
Number of seminars (Too many/Good/Too few):
Articles (Too difficult/Good/Too easy):
Number of seminars (Too few/Good/Too many):
Other comments on the structure of seminars:

Essays Useful for the understanding of the subject (1-5):
Extent (Too much/Good/Too little):
Presentation session(1-5):
Amount of time for presentations (Too much/Good/Too little):

Hand in exercises:
Amount of work (Too much/Good/Too little):
Amount of time (Too much/Good/Too little):
Level of difficulty (Too difficult/Good/Too easy):

Book (Sparke & Gallagher): quality (1-5):
Suitable for the course (Too difficult/Good/Too easy):
Other comments on the book:

Any views on the schedule and structure of the course?

What do you think about having lectures plus seminars and essay?

What do you think about the examination form (essay + seminar + hand-in exercise)?

Did you miss anything in the course?

Other suggestions for how to improve the course:

Put you replies in my mailbox (on the 6th floor), thanks for your cooperation!
/Göran