Nebular spectra of superluminous supernovae

Anders Jerkstrand

MPA

Outline

- Observational overview
- Parameterized O-zone models
- Pair-instability models

Papers :

Jerkstrand, Smartt & Heger 2016 MNRAS Jerkstrand, Smartt, Inserra, Nicholl, Chen, Kruhler, Sollerman, Taubenberger, Gal-Yam, Kankare, Maguire, Fraser, Valenti, Sullivan, Cartier, Young 2017 ApJ

Observational overview, spectra >200d post-peak

SN	z	Epochs	Telescopes	Coverage (Å)	Comment
SN 2007bi	0.13	+367, +471	VLT, Keck	3300-8500	
PTF12dam	0.11	+509	GTC	4000-8000	[O I]
iPTF13ehe	0.34	+251	Keck	3000-10000	$H\alpha$
PS1-14bj	0.52	+202	Magellan	6000-10000	0 III
LSQ14an	0.16	+205, 414, 478	VLT	3000-20000	0 III
SN 2015bn	0.11	+200-390	Magellan, Gemini, VLT	3000-20000	07bi-clone
iPTF16bad	0.25	+242	Keck	4000-10000	$H\alpha$
Gaia16apd	0.10	+234	GTC	6000-9000	[O I]
Papers: Cal Vam + 2000, Chan + 2015, Van + 2015, 2017, Lunnan + 2016, Nichall + 2016					

Papers: Gal-Yam+2009, Chen+2015, Yan+2015,2017, Lunnan+2016, Nicholl+2016,

Jerkstrand+2017, Inserra+2017, Kangas+2017

• Quality varies a lot (redshift, decline rate, host brightness..)

Often host galaxy contamination at late times



Long-duration SLSNe at nebular times



- O, Mg, Na, Ca identified. Probably also Fe.
- Expansion velocities 3000-10000 km/s.

Long-duration SLSNe at nebular times



• Similarity of neutral lines

Long-duration SLSNe at nebular times



• Diversity for ionized lines (O II and O III)

Line profiles



Strong similarity to GRB SNe such as SN 1998bw



- Unlikely to be some fundamentally different scenario like CSI (?)
- O I 7774 and O I 9263 stronger than in normal SNe
- Is 4000-5500 Å plateau evidence for 56Ni?

Strong similarity to GRB SNe such as SN 1998bw



- Unlikely to be some fundamentally different scenario like CSI (?)
- O I 7774 and O I 9263 stronger than in normal SNe
- Is 4000-5500 Å plateau evidence for 56Ni?

Strong similarity to GRB SNe such as SN 1998bw



• But note that broad-lined Ic SNe are not that different to normal IIb/Ib/Ic SNe at nebular times

SLSNe in NIR



Late-time spectral modelling with SUMO Jerkstrand 2011

Mixing treatment

Macroscopic vs microsc. mixing Clumping

Temperature

First law of thermodynamics.

Radioactive deposition

Compton scattering -> High-energy electrons

NLTE ionization and excitation

~100 zones, ~50 ions, ~300 levels

High-energy electron degradation

Spencer-Fano equation. Heating - ionisation - excitation

Radiative transfer

Scattering/fluorescence in 0.1-1 million lines

Modelling the O-zone emission Jerkstrand+2017

- Motivation : 1) Decouple ejecta properties from (unknown) power source. 2) Extensive parameter space investigation.
- Fix V = 8000 km s⁻¹, N = 100 clumps, t=400d. Then vary:
 - Zone mass: $M=3,10,30~{
 m M}_{\odot}$
 - Energy deposition: $d = 2.5, 5, 10, 20 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg/s}.$
 - Filling factor: *f* = 0.001, 0.01, 0.1
 - Composition: Pure O, OMg, C-burn



Indication of clumping



- High Mg I] 4571 luminosity requires cooling emission
- $\bullet\,$ Large f : Mg fully ionized to Mg II \rightarrow weak Mg I] 4571 cooling

Indication of clumping



- $\bullet~$ Decrease f : Mg I fraction increases $\rightarrow~$ Mg I] 4571 strengthens and Mg I 5180 emerges
- O I recombination lines strengthen, and can get also cooling contribution

Indication of clumping



 At very low *f*, spectrum formed under LTE optically thick conditions → follow blackbody

The unusual Ca II ratio : further indication of clumping



Maximum Ca II NIR / Ca II 7300

6

2

6.0

 n_{ρ}

 Observed ratio requires high electron density, $n_e \gtrsim 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

9000

- Similar result from O I recombination lines
- Need low filling factor to make reasonable masses

$$M = 3000 \ M_{\odot} f\left(\frac{n_e}{10^8}\right) \left(\frac{A}{40}\right) \left(\frac{x_e}{10/11}\right)$$

Constraints on the O mass



- Models with 3 M_{\odot} are over 3 times too dim for ANY deposition and density
- Oxygen ionizes to O II for too high depositions
- Also Mg lines much too weak

Constraints on the O mass



• Models with 10 M_{\odot} fare better

• Complex curves due to competing effects : ionization, optical depth, not only for O but other cooling lines

Constraints on the O mass



• Models with 30 M_{\odot} also fare ok

Constraints on the Mg mass

Mg I 1.50 $\mu \rm m$ expected to follow recombination luminosity

$$L = 1 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \times \left(\frac{M_{\rm Mg}}{15 \ M_{\odot}}\right) \left(\frac{n_e}{10^8 \ {\rm cm}^{-3}}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha^{eff}(T)}{10^{-13} \ {\rm cm}^3 {\rm s}^{-1}}\right)$$
(1)



17/31

O II and O III lines



- Seen in PS1-14bj and LSQ14an only
- Need large energy per unit mass and low density
- Inner pulsar wind nebula? Circumstellar interaction component?

Pair-instability supernovae Jerkstrand, Smartt & Heger 2016

Compute spectra of 3 explosion models from Heger & Woosley 2002:



Gamma deposition



- Gamma rays are fully trapped for >2 years
- Almost all absorbed in ⁵⁶Ni and Si/S layers

Physical conditions

- Ejecta are cold and neutral
- Expect lines of Fe I, Si I, S I, ...



Spectra at 400d post-explosion



Weak contribution by O



Intermediate contribution by Si/S/Ca



Strong contribution by Fe/Co/Ni



Comparison with PISN candidates



- \bullet Models too dim in blue region ($\lesssim 6500$ Å)
- He100 has too low velocities

Comparison with PISN candidates



• He130 no significant improvement

Comparison with PISN candidates



• For PTF12dam, which probes later epochs, similar problems

NIR



- $\bullet\,$ No sign of strong Si/S lines at 1.08 $\mu{\rm m}$ predicted by PISN models
- Similar picture for LSQ14an

SLSNe : overview of model classes and links to nebular spectra

Radioactivity

 $E \approx 10^{51} \left(rac{M(^{56}\text{Ni})}{5~M_{\odot}}
ight)$

* Similarity to SN 1998bw and other broad-lined Ic. * Blue plateau and 5200 line by Fe? * PISNe too red. Can we make massive CCSNe explode? * Gamma-ray deposition at small f? Especially O II/OIII hard. Neutron star rotation energy $E \approx 10^{51} \left(\frac{P}{5 \text{ ms}}\right)^{-2}$

* Pulsar wind could explain inferred compression
* O II and O III lines may be from inner pulsar wind
* Predictive test failed for PTF12dam : ad-hoc escape needed
* Line profiles? Ejecta kinetic energy $E \approx 10^{51}$

* Shock regiom could explain small f and O II/O III * Why no narrow He,C,O lines from unshocked CSM? (compare IIn SNe) * Large inferred O masses problematic, at least for PPISNe

Summary

- We now have nebular-phase (t > 200d) observations of 7 SLSNe, z=0.11-0.52
- O II and O III lines, and sometimes $H\alpha$, latest observational clues
- The Type Ic class shows significant degree of homogeneity, and strong similarity with GRB-powered SNe such as SN 1998bw.
- Parameterized single-zone models show that the [O I] 6300, 6364 luminosity is only reproduced in models with $M(\text{O-zone}) \gtrsim 10 \text{ M}_{\odot}$. Mg I] 1.50 mu gives Mg mass of several M_{\odot} , supporting high mass.
- A high degree of clumping is indicated, $f_O \lesssim 0.01$, and calcium lines show $n_e \gtrsim 10^8$ cm⁻³.
- Nebular models of PISNe show cold and neutral ejecta, with Fe I dominating the spectrum. Agreement with observed spectra of long-duration SLSNe is poor.