Spectral models for broad-lined Ic SNe

Anders Jerkstrand MPI for Astrophysics

Max Planck Institute for Astrophysics





Nebular spectra of SLSN Ic: with galaxy subtraction prototype SN 2007bi (Gal-Yam+2009) is very similar to SN 1998bw

Jerkstrand+2017



Nebular data sample of SLSN Ic now 3



- SN 2015bn virtual clone of SN 2007bi (see also Nicholl+2016).
- LSQ14an: additional [O II] and [O III] lines (see also Lunnan+2016 for PS1-14bj case)

200-250d:

iPTF13ehe (Yan+2015) z = 0.34 PS1-14bj (Lunnan+2016) z= 0.52 iPTF15esb (Yan+2017) z = 0.22 iPTF16bad (Yan+2017) z= 0.25 Gaia16adp (Kangas+2017) z=0.10



- Independent support from large Mg masses $(1.5-15 \text{ M}_{sun})$
- Recombination lines suggest material is clumped or compressed in shells

The long way around : lets start to understand SN 1998bw

• Model grid with $M(CO) = 3, 6, 12 M_{sun} (M_{ej} = 1.4, 4.2, 9.4 M_{sun}), M(^{56}Ni) = 0.2 \& 0.8 M_{sun}, V = 6000 \text{ km/s}$



Standard ⁵⁶Ni powered models fit SN 1998bw quite well. However, difficult to get the 2 key bumps (4571 & 5200 Å) out at high enough contrast.



How different are these really from normal Ibc SNe?



Summary

- We have now several SLSNe studied in nebular phase.
- They are all similar to broad-lined Ic SN, but with time shifts.
- Broadlined Ic SNe have only broad lines early on: later look like any stripped-env SN.
- Standard models do quite well for SN 1998bw. Key question: What part of the "blue plateau" is due to due hot iron?
- Ignoring strong asymmetries, and strong ⁵⁶Ni mixing, have we dismissed ⁵⁶Ni powered CCSN too quickly for SLSNe? If we invoke this for SN 1998bw, why not for SLSNe?

