Superluminous supernova SN 2006gy as a result of CE merger between a white dwarf and a massive star *Jerkstrand, Maeda and Kawabata, Science 2020, Vol. 367, 6476*

Anders Jerkstrand

Department of Astronomy, Stockholm University





Superluminous supernova SN 2006gy - one of the brightest SNe ever seen

- Radiated energy ~ 10⁵¹ erg (compare ~ 10⁴⁹ erg normal SNe).
- Interaction with a massive (~ 10 M_☉) slow-moving (~ 100 km/s) CSM indicated from narrow H lines (Type IIn). This CSM ejected ≤ 100y before the SN.
- A vast and diverse set of models proposed: e.g. pulsational pair instability SN, a LBV core-collapse soon after a Eta-Carina like eruption,... All of them involve the explosion of a massive star.



Smith et al. 2007 1/9

The supernova landscape



Strange, unknown emission lines seen at +1y Kawabata et al 2009



• Line widths \rightarrow 1500 km s⁻¹ expansion.

Identification : Fe I Jerkstrand, Maeda & Kawabata 2020, Science



- **Pulsational PISNe**: $M_{Fe} = 0$. Ruled out.
- **Core-collapse SNe** : $M_{Fe} \lesssim 0.2 \ M_{\odot}$, too low. Also no O lines seen.

Is SN 2006gy the results of a white dwarf merging with a massive star, ejecting a CE, and then exploding as a Ia SN?

- 1. Type Ia SNe make the right amount of ${}^{56}\mathrm{Ni}~(0.3-0.7~M_{\odot}).$
- 2. Causally connects CSM ejection with SN explosion \rightarrow only model scenario that can account for the inferred <100y synchronization.
- 3. Efficient CE ejection when compact objects spirals into RG/RSG envelopes demonstrated timescales of years/decades.



Testing the idea: Spectrum of a decelerated Ia SN at $+1 \ensuremath{\mathsf{y}}$ fits well

Spectral simulations with the SUMO NLTE code.



- Fe I lines emerge.
- No flux rescaling a major strength of the model.
- Physical conditions (temperature, ionization) satisfactory.

Testing the idea: The light curve properties also work out

Here a 2-parameter CSM (M_{CSM}, R_{CSM}) .

The CSM mass controls both light curve duration and iron deceleration.



- Too large CSM masses give interaction for too long and decelerates the iron too much.
- Too small CSM masses give too fast rise and too bright peak, and insufficient iron deceleration.
- A $\sim 10-15~M_{\odot}$ CSM gives the right properties.

Questions raised if WD-RG/RSG merger is the right explanation

- $1. \ \mbox{How do you get a WD close to a RG or RSG star}?$
- 2. How do you get it to spiral in, eject virtually all the envelope, and merge with the core of the other star?
- 3. How do you get it to explode?

Support in the binary stellar evolution and CE literature for (1) and (2), e.g. Tutokov 1993, Tauris & Sennels 2000, Terman+1994,1995, Sandquist+1998, Ablimit 2021.

Little known about (3) - major differences if companion is AGB star (WD core) or RSG (He core).

Summary

- ► A large iron reservoir (~ 0.5 M_☉) identified in the superluminous Type IIn supernova SN 2006gy. This rules out several previous scenarios such as shell collisions (these are iron-free).
- A model scenario of a white dwarf merging with a massive companion and then exploding into the ejected CE can reproduce both light curve and spectra well : only scenario to explain why a SN occurs soon after (< 100y) CSM creation.</p>
- ▶ Best-fitting CSM mass is 10-15 M_☉ which would suggest a RSG rather than a RG companion.
- If the scenario is correct, important new constraints on both CE and WD explosion physics.

Thank you!

Terman+1994 : A WD spiralling into a 5 M_{\odot} RG



FIG. 1.—The variation of the orbital separation between the core of the red giant and the dwarf companion as a function of time. The solid curve corresponds to the actual separation between components, and the dashed line corresponds to the average separation. The separation is expressed in units of 10^{12} cm, and the evolution time is expressed in days.

- Ejection of whole envelope on time-scale few years.
- Similar simulations: Terman+1995 (NS into BSG, in-spiral time 5y), Yorke+1995, Sandquist 1998, Taam & Sandquist 2000.

Fe mass modelling



WD-RSG binaries



Plunge-in

SUPERNOVA: THE RESULT OF THE DEATH SPIRAL OF A WHITE DWARF INTO A RED GIANT

WARREN M. SPARKS AND THEODORE P. STECHER Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland Received 1973 June 18; revised 1973 September 13

THE CRITICAL RADIUS AND THE EQUIVALENT RADIUS OF THE LAGRANGIAN LOBE FOR A BINARY SYSTEM



If the companion is massive enough (>5 times the WD mass), the system will never settle into RLOF accretion but the WD will plunge into the companion.

Explosion



1. Merger with a RG (AGB) star. WD-RG CE merger likely channel to produce WD-WD close binaries (normal la progenitors). With an AGB star companion another WD ready $(\rightarrow \text{Super-Chandra merger})$ explosion). Some tension with estimated CSM mass in SN 2006gy.

2. Merger with a RSG.

Sub-Chandra double detonation explosion as WD merges with He core. No tension with estimated CSM mass.

Ivanova 2013.

PISN light curves

